



Ifw

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of:

MADURawe

Application No.: 10/751,324

Filed: 01/05/2004

For: Integrated Circuits with RAM and  
ROM fabrication options

Examiner: CHANG, DANIEL D

Art Unit: 2819

**AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE**

09-11-2006

Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In the Office Action dated 08/24/2006, the examiner noted the following:

(1) Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Singh et al. (US 7,038,490) in view of Duong et al. (US 5,600,264).

(2) Finality of actions in Office Action dated 07/03/2006 is withdrawn, but found moot in view of the new ground(s) for rejection.

In response, the Applicant has provided detailed arguments to show that Singh disclosure is very different from the Applicant's disclosure, and Duong adds no extra merit to Singh teaching. The applicant respectfully submits that the Applicant's invention is not obvious by the teachings of Singh in view of Duong. No claims are amended in this response. Withdrawal of the objections and rejections is respectfully requested.

(This document was mailed to Commissioner for Patents on 09/12/2006)